

[REDACTED]

05 May 1994

MEMORANDUM FOR: Chair, Source Selection Evaluation Board

FROM: Contracting Officer

SUBJECT: Contracting Officer's Report on Proposals
Submitted in Response to RFP-54-94N, dated
15 March 1994

The purpose of this memorandum is to report the Contracting Officer's findings after a review of proposals submitted in response to the [REDACTED] Request for Proposal (RFP) for Computer Systems Engineering (SE) Support.

Requests for proposals were provided to twenty-four (24) contractors. The RFP had requested submission of a proposal for a Cost Plus Award Fee Level of Effort type contract for a base 3 month period plus two one year option periods.

Proposals were received in accordance with the RFP instructions from three (03) offerors; [REDACTED]

All offerors completed Section K, Representations, Certifications, and Other Statements of Offerors.

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Approved for Release
Date NOV 1997

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

2. REQUIREMENTS BACKGROUND

DI Contracts received a Request for Procurement Services (2420) from the [REDACTED] to establish a new Computer Systems Engineering Support contract.

A survey of industry was conducted to identify potential industry participants who were believed to possess the appropriate corporate capabilities to support the Computer Systems Engineering effort. A competitive Request for Proposal (RFP) was subsequently released on 15 March 1994 to the twenty-four (24) contractors identified during the survey.

Amendment One (01) was issued on 24 March 1994 to provide clarification to Organizational Conflict of Interest concerns which several contractors identified.

Written questions to the RFP were requested by 30 March 1994. Amendment Two (02) to the RFP was issued on 31 March 1994 to provide responses to nine (09) contractor questions.

On 15 April 1994, in accordance with RFP instructions, proposals were received from the following offerors: [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] Several of the contractors who elected not to bid cited lack of available resources or the focusing of resources on other opportunities as the primary reason.

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

6. FOLLOW-ON INTENTIONS

This contract will be written for a base 02 month period with five one year option periods. It should be noted that although approval is being requested for a base period plus five (05) one year option periods, only a base plus two (02) one year option periods were evaluated from a cost perspective. It was felt that due to significant cost uncertainties during outyear option periods, proposed costs during these periods would lack sufficient cost realism to be considered a valid basis for evaluation purposes. An assessment will be performed prior to the initiation of each option period to reestablish cost realism.

7. FUNDING PROFILE

[REDACTED] Due to potential future requirements for an increase in systems engineering support, the total estimated contract value is \$12,000,000.00. [REDACTED]

8. SIGNIFICANT ISSUES

There are no significant issues at this time. A preliminary audit has been performed and follow-on support will be requested in preparation for negotiations. It is requested that approval be granted to issue a letter contract in order to ensure continuity of service.

9. COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

Martin Marietta was determined to be FOCI eligible.

[REDACTED]

10. PAST PERFORMANCE

[REDACTED]

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~